

#### Report of The Chief Officer of Parks and Countryside

#### **Report to East Inner Area Committee**

## Date: 7<sup>th</sup> February 2013

#### Subject: Annual Report – for the Parks and Countryside Service

Are specific electoral Wards affected?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
If relevant, name(s) of Ward(s):		
Burmantofts & Richmond Hill Gipton & Harehills Killingbeck & Seacroft		
Are there implications for equality and diversity and cohesion and integration?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
Is the decision eligible for Call-In?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
Does the report contain confidential or exempt information? If relevant, Access to Information Procedure Rule number: Appendix number:	Yes	No No

#### Summary of main issues

- 1. The report provides an area profile of key assets, information on park usage and a customer based perspective of the quality of the assets and services provided.
- 2. It highlights the current progress towards Leeds Quality Park (LQP) status for community parks in the area. It provides the costs of achieving and retaining LQP status in community parks up to the year 2020.
- 3. The report details capital improvements in community parks, sport pitches and fixed play in the area for the last 12 months and planned improvements to be delivered in the next 12 months.
- 4. It gives a detailed breakdown of events and volunteering in the area.
- 5. It gives an overview of the Streetscene Grounds Maintenance contract considering performance for grass cutting in 2012. It sets out the specification and how this is developing alongside suggesting increased engagement in performance monitoring.

#### Recommendations

6. The Area Committee is requested to note the content of the report and to communicate priorities for investment in community parks, playing pitches and fixed play facilities in light of the issues raised.

## 1 Purpose of this report

- 1.1 This report seeks to further develop the relationship between the Parks and Countryside service and the East Inner Area Committee, as agreed at Executive Board.
- 1.2 It provides an overview of the service and sets out some of the challenges faced along with key performance management initiatives. In addition it seeks to provide a positive way forward for delivering the extended role of the Area Committee.
- 1.3 In particular it sets out at an area level progress made in attaining Leeds Quality Park standard. It also sets out investment needs to attain LQP standards and to retain them.

## 2 Background information

## Service Description

- 2.1 Leeds City Council has one of the largest fully inclusive local authority Parks and Countryside services, managing almost 4,000 hectares of parks and green space. This includes 7 major parks, 62 community parks and 95 recreation grounds and 391 local green spaces, which include 144 playgrounds and 500 sports facilities ranging from skateboard parks to golf courses, and which play host to 600 events annually. The service also manages a nursery which produces over 4 million bedding plants each year, 97 allotment sites, over 800km of Public Right of Way (PROW), and 156 nature conservation sites, as well as 22 cemeteries and three crematoria.
- 2.2 The 2009 Parks and Countryside residents survey showed that the service attracts almost 68 million visits each year from Leeds' residents alone, and that approximately 96% of these are regular park users. These range from anybody using a park for informal recreation (e.g. walking, observing nature) to people who take part in formal activities (e.g. football clubs, conservation volunteers or to attend events). The user surveys also evidenced that 10m visits are made to our green space by Young People (12-19) compared to 3.6m by Children (5-11).

## **Description of Priority Advisory Function**

- 2.3 The priority advisory function for Area Committees relates to community parks provision that have a wide range of facilities, including general recreation, sports pitches, play and formal and informal horticultural facilities.
- 2.4 Where developments are less significant or only impact on one site then ward members and community groups will be informed and consulted using established procedures. It is important to note that good levels of engagement with ward members exist and this function seeks to enhance this engagement.

#### 3 Main issues

#### Area Profile of the Service

3.1 The following table summarises community green space assets managed by Parks and Countryside in the East Inner Area Committee:

Asset	Quantity
Community parks	6
Playing Pitches:	
Football	22
Rugby League	9
Bowling greens	6
Playgrounds	16
Multi-use games areas	9
Skate parks	2

#### **Community Parks**

- 3.2 The service undertook a residents survey using the Citizen's Panel methodology during the summer of 2012. Unfortunately this has provided insufficient information to allow the service to update the 2009 survey data with statistical confidence. Options to undertake additional surveys during 2013 are currently being examined to provide an updated dataset for usage and satisfaction.
- 3.3 Analysis from the 2009 residents survey was carried out relevant to the 6 community parks in the area which are;

Site Name	Annual Number of Visits	
Banstead Park	69,060	Total Annual Visits
East End Park	837,040	to East Inner
Fearnville Sports Centre	220,141	Community Parks is
Harehills Park	485,742	
Nowell Mount	40,043	1.9m approx.
The Rein	275,467	

- 3.4 The residents survey provides significant insight into the users of community parks, demographics of users, how they get there and what they do. A detailed insight of each community park is given in appendix 1. The key analysis points are;
  - Approximately 63% of visitors are adults with 37% children or young people.
  - There are a wide range of reasons for visiting but nearly all visitors at some point go for relaxation, exercise or play.
  - Nearly 80% of visitors travel to the park on foot of which 77% take less than 10 minutes to travel there which is higher than most other area committee's.
  - Of the 18% who visit by car 74% take less than 10 minutes to get there.

- 50% of visitors go to community parks either every day or on most days, whilst 71% go at least once a week.
- 3.5 Parks and Countryside provide annual pitch hire for sports teams in the area. The table below shows the number of teams with current bookings playing on pitches in the area; *(note this excludes clubs who have a long term lease in place)*

Age Group	No of Teams
Open Age	17
Juniors	27

#### Volunteering in the Parks and Countryside Service

- 3.6 The Service continues to focus on increasing the number of volunteers and groups working in the area. There has been a significant increase in the value of activities which take place particularly in the area of corporate volunteering. Our emphasis for the next 12 months will be to :
  - Seek to increase corporate volunteering working in partnership with Leeds Ahead.
  - Continue to improve involvement with the many "in bloom" groups in Leeds.
  - It is an ambition to have a volunteer group for every community park where there is a site based gardener.
- 3.7 It is estimated that volunteers across all groups contribute nearly 550 days of voluntary work in the East Inner area over a 12 month period. The tables below give details of works undertaken in East Inner since November 2011 and the active groups in the area Committee;

Site	Organisation	Task	No. of Vol Days
Killingbeck Fields	Leeds Wildlife Volunteers/General Public	Digging pond test pits + litter pick	7.9
The Rein	General Public	Volunteer Task	1.7
Total			9.6

Table 1 - Work undertaken by volunteers working with the Rangers;

#### Table 2 - Corporate volunteer actions;

Site	Organisation	Task	No. of Vol Days
Beckett	Corporate group	Clearing Paths, weeding beds.	10.3
Street	Barclays	Painting gates, clearing graves.	8.6
Cemetery	Corporate group	Levelling ground	9.4
Killingbeck Pond	Corporate (ASDA)	Litter pick / footpath clearance	45.0
King George Garden	Corporate Volunteers	Volunteer Task	8.6
Total			81.9

Site	School	Activity	No Of Children				
Beechwood Primary,	Beechwood	School Assemblies	200				
Seacroft	Primary		390				
Crossgates Primary,	Crossgates	School Assemblies	210				
Crossgates	Primary		210				
Grange Farm	Grange Farm	School Assemblies	150				
Primary, Seacroft	Primary	School Assemblies	250				
The Rein	Grange Farm	Habitat Pile Creation	30				
	Primary	Habitat Pile Creation	30				
Parklands Primary, Seacroft	Parklands Primary	School Assemblies	110				

#### Table 3 - Educational work within the East Inner area:

#### Table 4 - Summary of the groups who are active in the East Inner area :

Group Name	Number of Volunteers	Estimated Volunteer Days
Friends of Arthurs Rein	5	10
Friends of Becket Street Cemetery	5	10
Friends of East End Park	5	5
Friends of Killingbeck Fields	5	10
Friends of Wykebeck Woods	10	24
Wyke Beck Way Community Forum	30	0
Leeds Parks Volunteers	4	78
Leeds Voluntary Footpath Rangers	6	130
Leeds Wildlife Volunteers	12	216
Total		483

#### Table 5 - Existing in bloom groups within the East Inner area;

In Bloom Group	Number of Volunteers	Award Won (Yorkshire in Bloom)	Estimated Volunteer Days
Cross Green	12	~	240
Harehills	3	~	80
Total			320

#### **Events**

3.8 The bookings and licensing team has introduced improvements to the application process for events that occur on parks. They are providing greater assistance in helping community groups organise events with particular emphasise on ensuring legal and safety requirements are met but do not deter groups and organisations from organising activities. The table below shows a list of events held in the outer East area in 2012:

Site Name	Month	Event	Total
Ashton Road/Conways	June	Olympic Football Tournament	1
GIA MUGA	July	LCC Multi Sports Days	1
	August	LCC Multi Sports Days	3
	November	LCC Multi Sports Days	1
Banstead Park	June	Olympic event - Jason Minott	1
	July	LCC Sports Sessions	2
	August	LCC Multi Sports Days	4

Site Name	Month	Event	Total
		LCC Sports Sessions	3
	October	ENELH Dog Chipping & Info	1
	November	LCC Multi Sports Days	1
Bow Street Recreation	July	Chocolate Media Filmimg	1
Charlton P.O.S	September	Live on the Drive	1
East End Park	March	Moli-Mischief Filming	1
	June	(10th - 18th) Funfair	1
		Olympic event - Jason Minott	1
		Summer Bands	1
	July	ENELH Dog Chipping & Info	1
		Lark in the Park	1
		LCC Multi Sports Days	1
		Summer Bands	1
	August	LCC Multi Sports Days	3
		Street Work Soccer	1
		Summer Bands	1
	November	East End Park Bonfire	1
Ebors POS	August	LCC Multi Sports Days	4
		Street Work Soccer	1
Fearnville (King George	February	Memorial Football Tournament	1
VI) Playing Fields	March	(26th - 2nd) Funfair	1
	April	(23rd - 30th) Funfair	1
	July	Gipton Gala	1
Killingbeck Fields	August	(30th - 13th) Funfair	1
-	September	New World Circus	1
Oak Tree Drive	July	LCC Sports Sessions	2
	August	LCC Multi Sports Days	4
		LCC Sports Sessions	4
Raincliffe Recreation	March	Moli-Mischief Filming	2
	July	Leeds Play Network Sessions	1
	August	Leeds Play Network Sessions	5
Ramshead Drive	July	LCC Multi Sports Days	1
	August	LCC Multi Sports Days	4
Rookwood Recreation	July	Leeds Play Network Sessions	2
Ground	August	Leeds Play Network Sessions	4
Seacroft Gardens	July	LCC Multi Sports Days	2
	August	LCC Multi Sports Days	3
Seacroft Village Green	July	LCC Multi Sports Days	1
-	_	Seacroft Gala	1
	August	LCC Multi Sports Days	3
Total	-		84

## **Community Parks – Leeds Quality Park Status**

3.9 The Parks and Green Space Strategy was approved at Executive Board in February 2009 and sets out the vision and priorities to 2020. One of the key proposals contained in the strategy is the aspiration for all community parks to meet the Green Flag standard for field based assessment by 2020. The Green Flag Award Scheme represents the national standard for parks and green spaces. It has been developed around eight key criteria as follows;

- **A welcoming place** how to create a sense that people are positively welcomed in the park
- **Healthy, safe & secure** how best to ensure that the park is a safe & healthy environment for all users
- **Clean & well maintained** what people can expect in terms of cleanliness, facilities & maintenance
- **Sustainability** how a park can be managed in environmentally sensitive ways
- Conservation & heritage the value of conservation & care of historical heritage
- **Community involvement** ways of encouraging community participation and acknowledging the community's role in a park's success
- **Marketing** methods of promoting a park successfully
- **Management** how to reflect all of the above in a coherent & accessible management plan or strategy and ensure it is implemented.
- 3.10 The Parks and Countryside service reports annual performance against two local indicators based upon the Green Flag Award scheme;
  - The percentage of Parks and Countryside sites assessed that meet the Green Flag standard.
  - The percentage of Parks and Countryside community parks which meet the Green Flag standard. Performance against these indicators is illustrated in section 3.31.
- 3.11 The indicator includes an assessment of each community park which has particular relevance to Area Committee engagement. The scheme is known as the Leeds Quality Park (LQP) standard. The following table provides a summary of these assessments for the East Inner Area Committee.

Site	Year Assessed	Welcoming Place	Healthy, Safe, Secure	Clean, Well Maintained	Sustainability	Conservation / Heritage	Community Involvement	Marketing	Meets Standard?
Banstead Park	2011								No
East End Park	2010								No
Fearnville Sports Centre	2010								No
Harehills Park	2011								Yes
Nowell Mount	2010								No
The Rein	2010								No
Key:									
Meets Leeds Quality Park Standard on average for this key criteria									
Below Leeds Quality Park Standard on average for this key criteria									

- 3.12 From this table, there is 1 park identified that meets the Leeds Quality Park Standard in the area, with 5 not reaching the standard. This is identical to the previous Area Committee Report. Although Banstead Park failed having previously passed with Harehills gaining LQP.
- 3.13 The residents survey in 2009 enables an assessment of visitor numbers and satisfaction rating (scored out of 10) for a number of criteria for each park, set out in the following table:

Site	Design and Appearance	Cleanliness and Maintenance	Ease to Get Around	Range of Facilities	Horticultural Maintenance	Nature Conservation	Facilities for Families	Sports Facilities	Overall Impression
Banstead Park	6.4	5.5	7.8	5.5	6.9	6.3	5.1	5.7	5.8
East End Park	6.9	7.2	8.2	5.6	7.8	7.2	6.4	5.8	7.1
Fearnville Sports Centre	7.4	6.0	7.1	4.0	7.1	6.4	6.0	6.0	6.6
Harehills Park	6.1	6.5	8.0	5.2	7.0	7.4	4.6	6.4	6.5
The Rein	4.7	4.7	6.7	3.0	6.0	5.0	3.7	6.0	4.0

Note – Nowell Mount had insufficient responses to be able to accurately produce satisfaction data. **Key:** 

Generally meets LQP expectations	7.0 - 10	
Generally below LQP expectations	0.0 - 6.9	

This table broadly correlates with the professional audit undertaken for the Leeds Quality Parks assessment set out in paragraph 3.11. In particular scores and visitor numbers are higher for the parks that meet the LQP standard. There are however issues identified with the range of facilities, facilities for families and sports facilities offered in many of the parks.

## **Playing Pitches**

3.14 The residents survey in 2009 allowed respondents to rate sport facilities in parks. The results are shown in the table below;

Rating of Sports facilities	2009 (East Inner)	2006 (East Inner)
Fair to very good	65.9%	64.6%
Poor or very poor	34.1%	35.4%

The results shows little change in those rating the sports facilities as fair or higher from the 2006 survey. This data is related to the table set out in paragraph 3.13.

## Fixed Play

3.15 The residents survey in 2009 allowed respondents to rate facilities for children and their parents. The results are shown in the table below;

Rating facilities for children	2009 (East Inner)	2006 (East Inner)
Fair to very good	59.8%	52.2%
Poor or very poor	40.2%	47.8%

Results show a sizeable increase in those who rated facilities as fair or above.

- 3.16 Improvements to community parks during 2012 are as follows:
  - The Rein Playground refurbishment and general improvements (£98k).
- 3.17 The following play areas have been refurbished during 2012;
  - Rookwood Avenue POS New playground (£40k).
- 3.18 The following table provides a perspective on the minimum level of investment required to achieve the LQP standard for the five remaining parks. It also includes the level of reinvestment required across all the community parks in order to sustain the LQP pass up to 2020;

Site Name	Cost to Achieve (excluding fixed play)	Reinvestment (excluding fixed play)		
East End Park	£289,200			
Fearnville Sports Centre	£30,000			
Harehills Park	£15,000			
Nowell Mount	£36,850			
The Rein	£130,000			
Total to achieve LQP	£501,050			
Average annual reinvestment		£22,868		
Total reinvestment to 2020		£182,941		
Overall Total Investment to 2020		£683,991		

3.19 Reinvestment levels are estimated according to the expected lifespan of equipment and infrastructure as set out below;

Description	Timescale for Recurring Investment
Signage and interpretation	5 years
Fixed play (including MUGA's/skate parks)	10 years
Bins and benches	15 years
Paths and infrastructure	25 years
Landscaping	25 years

- 3.20 Planned improvements for the next 12 months are;
  - Gipton Square Signage and gates (£17k).

- Hovingham Avenue POS Removal of playground and creation of informal 5-aside (£45k).
- Seacroft Gardens Proposed improvements to the MUGA (£98k).
- Fearnville Sports Centre Refurbishment of skate ramps (£21k).
- 3.21 In terms of fixed play, work has been undertaken to set out refurbishment requirements over a 10 year rolling programme in support of the outcomes of the Fixed Play Strategy. The average cost of a new playground is currently about £120k; Multi-use games areas and skateparks are slightly cheaper on average at about £90k each. The table below shows the capital investment required on an ongoing basis to fund the area committees existing fixed play sites;

Fixed Play Type	No.	Total Replacement Cost £'s	Required Average Annual Spend £'s
Play Areas	16	1,920,000	192,000
Multi Use games Areas	9	810,000	81,000
Skate Parks	2	180,000	18,000
Totals		2,910,000	291,000

## Area Committee funding for additional on site gardeners

- 3.22 A number of area committees provide additional funding for gardeners to increase site based presence at parks in the area.
- 3.23 Analysis shows that complaints to both Ward Councillors and the Parks and Countryside Service have declined on sites with increased daily presence. In addition, the service has observed an increase in the number of residents using parks and open spaces which is backed up by the residents survey data.
- 3.24 The site based gardeners increase working relationships with users, local residents and community groups. These site based staff further increase users satisfaction and support the aspiration to increase volunteer groups working within parks.

## Streetscene Grounds Maintenance

3.25 Following a procurement exercise the streetscene grounds maintenance contract was awarded to Continental Landscapes Ltd (CLL) with effect from 1<sup>st</sup> January 2012. This contract covers sites that are located alongside the highway network or amongst residential streets managed by the council's Arms Length Management Organisations (ALMOs and BITMO). The specification requires the contractor to undertake litter removal from sites they maintain at each visit supporting the council's wider efforts to maintain clean streets. The table below shows the asset breakdown of contract items for the East Inner area committee:

Asset Type	Annual Visits	Unit	Measure
Amenity Grass	13	M <sup>2</sup>	978,125
Premium Grass	26	M <sup>2</sup>	9,112
Rough Grass	3	M <sup>2</sup>	160,710
Rough Linear	3	М	889

Asset Type	Annual Visits	Unit	Measure
Primary Network	6	M <sup>2</sup>	48,959
Shrub Beds	2	M <sup>2</sup>	30,522
Hedges	3	М	3,656
Rose Beds	2	M <sup>2</sup>	627
Total			1,232,600

- 3.26 Despite 2012 being one of the wettest summers ever recorded the contractor has completed grass cutting operations as required by the contract specification. During June the specification was revised to increase the mowing frequency on grass plots adjacent to sheltered housing and this has proven to be very successful in addressing issues of grass length and overspill of cuttings in these areas. Regular monitoring of the contractors work is undertaken with a target sample size of 10%. Analysis of city wide performance for the 2012 mowing season shows that the contractor achieved an average failure rate of less than 1%.
- 3.27 The Safer and Stronger Communities Scrutiny Board Working Group examined the contract during the summer and produced a number of recommendations that are currently being considered or have already been introduced. These include:
  - Increasing frequencies to 16 grass cuts and 6 shrub/rose visits.
  - That any proposed removal of shrub/rose beds are consulted on with ward members and parish/town councils prior to removal.
  - That options to increase 'joined up working' with locality management are explored.
  - To examine options to increase efficiencies by better utilisation of contractor resources during the winter.
  - That parish and town councils are encouraged to engage in contract performance management.
  - That an improved communications strategy is developed.
  - That area committees are provided with performance information relevant to the area.
  - That contract management efficiencies are sought alongside increased consistency of approach.
  - Establishing funding to address problem sites until ownership can be established.
- 3.28 From 1<sup>st</sup> September 2012 management of the contract passed to Parks and Countryside and work has commenced to restructure the contract team to achieve increased coordination and efficiency from grounds maintenance and weed spraying contracts. It is proposed that officers attending the area committee environment sub groups will provide regular updates on performance and any proposed changes to the contract.
- 3.29 As a result of the ALMO review currently underway, arrangements regarding the provision of ALMO elements of the contract may change.

## **Coordinated Working with Environmental Services**

- 3.30 The Parks & Countryside Service move to the Environments & Neighbourhoods Directorate has given opportunities to improve collaborative working. In particular improvements in horticultural land management, cleansing and more efficient use of resources in regard to;
  - Co-ordination of weed spraying activities with the grounds maintenance contract with a consistent approach to monitoring.
  - Traffic Management arrangements co-ordinated to minimise costs and disruption of the highway.
  - Litter collection in the vicinity of community parks, and as part of normal operations site based gardeners undertake an initial litter pick of the park which is being expanded to include some areas outside the curtilage of the park. Conversely, street cleansing staff now assist with emptying litter bins in parks on a weekend when parks staff are not always present.
  - Work is underway to map all maintainable ginnels and establish work requirements to draw up an annual co-ordinated work schedule. Work is already co-ordinated to undertake weed-spraying where the locality team have already cut back vegetation, and removed detritus from the surface of the path.
  - Locality Managers have led on consultation and worked with Parks and Countryside on developing proposals around dog control orders in Leeds.
  - The Parks and Countryside service has a number of welfare facilities and yard space available in most community parks distributed throughout the city which has provided an opportunity for the locality based teams to use these facilities for staff welfare provision and as operational bases.

## Parks & Countryside Key Performance Indicators

3.31 The following table highlights key performance indicators relevant to the service;

PI Code	Description	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
		Actual	Actual	Target	Target
LKI-GFI /	The percentage of parks	23%	26.2%	29.4%	32.6%
CP-PC50	and countryside sites				
/ EM38	assessed internally that	(Target	(Target		
	meet the Green Flag criteria	23%)	26.2%)		
LKI-PCP	Overall user satisfaction				
22	with Parks and Countryside	N/A	N/A	7/10	N/A
	(from the user survey)				
New	The percentage of parks		38.7%		
	and countryside community	33.9%		47.5%	55%
	parks which meet LQP	55.570	Target	Ψ7.570	5570
	status		(40%)		

## 4 Corporate Considerations

#### 4.1 Consultation and Engagement

4.1.1 Close liaison with community and ward members is already in existence, utilising a variety of mechanisms, for example through residents' surveys, multi-agency meetings and community forums. In addition volunteers, Friends of groups and local residents are regularly consulted on local projects with input on design and physical implementation of a wide range of site improvements.

#### 4.2 Equality and Diversity / Cohesion and Integration

4.2.1 This report does not have an impact on equality and diversity. Further information is available on analysis of the residents survey 2009 specifically regarding equality issues on request.

#### 4.3 Council Policies and City Priorities

- 4.3.1 The contents of this report set out how the Executive Board requirements can be met by taking a more proactive approach to involve and engage Area Committees in matters relating to community parks.
- 4.3.2 The information within the report contributes significantly to the sustainable economy and culture city priority plan.

#### 4.4 Resources and Value for Money

- 4.4.1 The central government's Comprehensive Spending Review has had significant impact on local government budgets and it is anticipated that the budget allocation for Parks and Countryside will continue to be very challenging.
- 4.4.2 The service undertakes to sustain and develop the services provided to the public and has traditionally used a number of sources of financial support to achieve developments. These include grants from bodies such as Green Leeds Ltd, Sustrans, Natural England, National Lottery funding and developer contributions via section 106 (S106) funds.

## 4.5 Legal Implications, Access to Information and Call In

4.5.1 This report has no legal implications and is not subject to call in. There is no information which is confidential or exempt.

#### 4.6 Risk Management

4.6.1 There are no significant risk management issues contained within the report, its conclusions and recommendations.

## 5 Conclusions

5.1 Community green space contributes in many ways to the delivery of the Corporate Priority Plan. They provide places for relaxation, escape, exercise and recreation. They bring communities together and make a positive contribution to the local

economy, education, improve public health and well-being, and generally make a better place to live, work and visit.

- 5.2 Improvements to community parks, fixed play and playing pitches remain a priority, and there already has been investment made to deliver improvements along with further schemes identified. Issues are being addressed through the Parks and Green Space Strategy along with implementation of the Fixed Play Strategy and Playing Pitch Strategy.
- 5.3 Community engagement remains a key activity for the service with regular correspondence, attendance at meetings and briefings, along with more localised consultation where required. As described at 3.2 options to undertake additional surveys during 2013 are currently being examined to provide an updated dataset for usage and satisfaction.
- 5.4 A programme of activities is planned for which updates and reports can be provided to the Area Committee to help inform, consult and influence community green space management.

#### 6 Recommendations

6.1 The Area Committee is requested to note the content of the report and to communicate priorities for investment in community parks, playing pitches and fixed play facilities in light of the issues raised.

#### 7 Background documents

- 7.1 Area Committee Roles, Outer East Area Committee, 4<sup>th</sup> July 2011.
- 7.2 Annual Report for Parks and Countryside Service in East Inner Area Committee, Outer East Area Committee, 20<sup>th</sup> October 2011.
- 7.3 Parks and Greenspace Strategy, Executive Board, February 2009.
- 7.4 Fixed Play Strategy, Executive Board, September 2002.

# Appendix 1: Detailed Residents Survey Information

#### 1.1 Total Number of Annual Visits

	Community Parks	Other P&C Sites	Total
East Inner	1,927,493	382,442	2,309,935

# 1.2 Reasons for Visiting – respondents select their five main reasons (The 24 choices have been grouped in this table)

Reason	Banstead Park %	East End Park %	Fearnville Sports %	Harehills Park %	The Rein %	East Inner Total %
Exercise	73	82	100	95	100	89
Play	100	53	71	76	33	64
Dog walking	0	16	29	29	50	21
Enjoy the surroundings	18	39	57	33	0	33
Family outings	36	32	57	48	17	36
Relaxation	100	100	43	95	100	99
See Wildlife	0	11	43	14	0	12
Sport related	36	39	71	24	33	36
Other	9	16	14	0	50	14
Events	0	24	29	5	0	14

#### **1.3 Age Profile of Visitors**

Site	Age 20 – 39	Age 40 – 59	Age 60+
Banstead Park	58%	33%	9%
East End Park	38%	38%	23%
Fearnville Sports Centre	33%	33%	34%
Harehills Park	41%	45%	14%
The Rein	43%	57%	0%
East Inner Total	41%	42%	17%

## How visitors get to the parks and how long it takes to get there

Site	% of visitors on foot	Less than 10 mins	10–20 mins	20-30 mins	30+ mins
Banstead Park	90.9%	80%	20%	0%	0%
East End Park	81.1%	77%	23%	0%	0%
Fearnville Sports Centre	57.1%	50%	50%	0%	0%
Harehills Park	73.7%	72%	28%	0%	0%
The Rein	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
East Inner Total	79.3%	77%	23%	0%	0%

## 1.4 Visitors on Foot – Journey Time

## 1.5 Visitors by Car - Journey Time

Site	% of visitors by car	Less than 10 mins	10–20 mins	20-30 mins
Banstead Park	9.1%	100%	0%	0%
East End Park	18.9%	57%	43%	0%
Fearnville Sports Centre	28.6%	100%	0%	0%
Harehills Park	21.1%	75%	25%	0%
The Rein	0%	~	~	~
East Inner Total	18.3%	74%	20%	6%

# 1.6 How long do visitors stay. (Detailed information on each community park is available on request).

	Summer Stay		Winter Stay		
Time	Weekend	Weekday	Weekend	Weekday	
Less than 30 Minutes	10%	22%	34%	38%	
30 minutes to 1 hour	38%	42%	34%	32%	
1 to 2 hours	38%	22%	17%	9%	
2 to 4 hours	10%	10%	6%	4%	
4 or more hours	2%	2%	0%	0%	
Do not visit	2%	3%	9%	18%	

	Summer			
Every Day	20%	15%		
Most Days	30%	17%		
Once or Twice a week	21%	23%		
Once every two weeks	15%	9%		
Once a month	14%	21%		
Seldom or never	0%	15%		
Seldom or never	0%	15%		

# 1.7 How often do visitors go. (Detailed information on each community park is available on request).

## **1.8** Information taken from comments made in the survey.

Site	General satisfaction comments	What would make you stay longer or encourage more use	Any other comments
Banstead Park	Major concerns over dog fouling, damage to the childrens play area.	Improving the safety of the park by reducing anti- social behaviour and other activities which occur. Maybe community policing would help.	~
East End Park	Majority of the park is clean and tidy. There are some issues	Some access to refreshments. Increased number of picnic	People like the breeze events.
	with dog fouling. Path network needs resurfacing.	benches.	
Fearnville Sports Centre	Improved pitch maintenance and drainage needed.	Seating. Childrens play area.	~
Harehills Park	Few comments on general maintenance and look of the park. Main concern is anti- social and perceived criminal behaviour.	Improved childrens play area (this has been completed since survey).	Local PCSO's to patrol in the park.
The Rein	~	Some play facilities. Some extra features.	Some events or knowing what was going on would maybe improve the park.